Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

	strength	knowledge ns and prio them in lea	r experier		2. Conn the real	ect classro world	ng to	problem	e students solving, a their critio	nd reflect	ion to	
	Pro	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	ewide	Prog	Iram	State	ewide
	24	100.0%	1966	98.0%	24	100.0%	1963	97.9%	24	100.0%	1953	97.4%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.3%	0	0.0%	7	0.4%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	18	0.9%	0	0.0%	40	2.0%	1	4.2%	49	2.5%
3 = Adequately	2	8.3%	247	12.6%	4	16.7%	285	14.5%	2	8.3%	298	15.3%
4 = Well	8	33.3%	614	31.2%	9	37.5%	626	31.9%	10	41.7%	639	32.7%
5 = Very well	14	58.3%	1085	55.2%	11	45.8%	1006	51.2%	11	45.8%	960	49.2%
Mean : SD	4.50	0.66	4.40	0.75	4.29	0.75	4.32	0.82	4.29	0.81	4.28	0.84

			the instruc learners	tional nee	eds of	learning	ify and add needs with strategies	n appropr	
		Pro	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	wide
		24	100.0%	1952	97.3%	24	100.0%	1957	97.6%
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%
2 = Poorly		0	0.0%	52	2.7%	0	0.0%	24	1.2%
3 = Adequately		6	25.0%	351	18.0%	2	8.3%	203	10.4%
t = Well		8	33.3%	640	32.8%	7	29.2%	560	28.6%
5 = Very well		10	41.7%	904	46.3%	15	62.5%	1166	59.6%
	Mean : SD	4.17	0.82	4.22	0.85	4.54	0.66	4.46	0.74

Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

	6. Engage students in cooperative group work as well as independent learning Program Statewide			t respectful learning environment for all students					e a produc nent with l udents			interven matcheo	nt behavio ing early u l to studen and behav	ising strat it's curren	egies	
	Pro				-				_	Iram		ewide		gram	State	
	24	100.0%	1953	97.4%	24	100.0%	1954	97.4%	24	100.0%	1945	97.0%	24	100.0%	1943	96.9%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	5	0.3%
2 = Poorly	1	4.2%	31	1.6%	0	0.0%	19	1.0%	0	0.0%	25	1.3%	1	4.2%	44	2.3%
3 = Adequately	1	4.2%	260	13.3%	0	0.0%	194	9.9%	2	8.3%	205	10.5%	4	16.7%	301	15.5%
4 = Well	10	41.7%	656	33.6%	10	41.7%	551	28.2%	10	41.7%	607	31.2%	7	29.2%	631	32.5%
5 = Very well	12	50.0%	1002	51.3%	14	58.3%	1188	60.8%	12	50.0%	1105	56.8%	12	50.0%	962	49.5%
Mean : SD	4.38	0.77	4.34	0.78	4.58	0.50	4.49	0.72	4.42	0.65	4.43	0.74	4.25	0.90	4.29	0.83

Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

			10. Develop curriculum to teach				effective in to teach and skills			material technolo	ct, adapt, s, resource gies to ma ccessible t	t	based in technolo	nd expert structional gy to supp challengi	l and assis ort stude	stive nt	
		Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	ewide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	Iram	State	wide
		17	70.8%	1789	89.2%	17	70.8%	1794	89.4%	17	70.8%	1792	89.3%	17	70.8%	1788	89.1%
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	13	0.7%	0	0.0%	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	10	0.6%
2 = Poorly		3	17.6%	56	3.1%	1	5.9%	30	1.7%	1	5.9%	37	2.1%	0	0.0%	45	2.5%
3 = Adequately		4	23.5%	325	18.2%	3	17.6%	277	15.4%	2	11.8%	258	14.4%	3	17.6%	261	14.6%
4 = Well		2	11.8%	626	35.0%	3	17.6%	623	34.7%	4	23.5%	603	33.6%	4	23.5%	651	36.4%
5 = Very well		8	47.1%	769	43.0%	10	58.8%	859	47.9%	10	58.8%	892	49.8%	10	58.8%	821	45.9%
	Mean : SD	3.88	1.22	4.16	0.88	4.29	0.99	4.28	0.80	4.35	0.93	4.31	0.80	4.41	0.80	4.25	0.83

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

14. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness, language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development 15. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies, resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students

.....

. .

. .

16. Develop IFSP/IEP goals and objectives that are measurable and obtainable

	cultural					t meet the						obtainable				
		Prog	gram	State	ewide		Prog	Iram	State	wide	-	Prog	ram	State	ewide	
		17	70.8%	1788	89.1%		17	70.8%	1789	89.2%		17	70.8%	1786	89.0%	
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	5	0.3%		0	0.0%	5	0.3%		0	0.0%	6	0.3%	
2 = Poorly		0	0.0%	30	1.7%		1	5.9%	30	1.7%		1	5.9%	54	3.0%	
3 = Adequately		2	11.8%	221	12.4%		3	17.6%	217	12.1%		2	11.8%	271	15.2%	
4 = Well		4	23.5%	617	34.5%		3	17.6%	617	34.5%		4	23.5%	581	32.5%	
5 = Very well		11	64.7%	915	51.2%		10	58.8%	920	51.4%		10	58.8%	874	48.9%	
	Mean : SD	4.53	0.72	4.35	0.78		4.29	0.99	4.35	0.78		4.35	0.93	4.27	0.85	

17. Plan for instruction by incorporating all relevant
IFSP/IEP information behavior and academic
information

18. Ensure students with exceptionalities receive appropriate instruction and support within the least restrictive environment

Information											
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	ewide			
	17	70.8%	1779	88.7%	17	70.8%	1781	88.8%			
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	7	0.4%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%			
2 = Poorly	2	11.8%	55	3.1%	0	0.0%	26	1.5%			
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	273	15.3%	2	11.8%	203	11.4%			
4 = Well	4	23.5%	616	34.6%	3	17.6%	591	33.2%			
5 = Very well	11	64.7%	828	46.5%	12	70.6%	957	53.7%			
Mean : SD	4.41	1.00	4.24	0.85	4.59	0.71	4.39	0.76			

Assessing Students for Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

	19. Develop a variety of sour to plan, different	ces to esta	ablish lear	ning goals	and assess	volve all stu ment, goal vring progre	setting, a		21. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning				
	Pro	gram	State	ewide	Pr	ogram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	
	16	66.7%	1732	86.3%	1	66.7%	1708	85.1%	16	66.7%	1720	85.7%	
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	2	0.1%		0.0%	6	0.4%	0	0.0%	5	0.3%	
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	33	1.9%		0.0%	48	2.8%	0	0.0%	31	1.8%	
3 = Adequately	3	18.8%	235	13.6%		L 6.3%	284	16.6%	3	18.8%	253	14.7%	
4 = Well	3	18.8%	613	35.4%		5 37.5%	632	37.0%	3	18.8%	609	35.4%	
5 = Very well	10	62.5%	849	49.0%		9 56.3%	738	43.2%	10	62.5%	822	47.8%	
Mean :	SD 4.44	0.81	4.31	0.78	4.5	0.63	4.20	0.84	4.44	0.81	4.29	0.80	

22. Collect and utilize data to ensure educational benefit when aligning assessment data with goals and services within the least restrictive environment

23. Appropriately modify and accommodate state and local assessments based on students' learning and accessibility needs

	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide
	16	66.7%	1726	86.0%	16	66.7%	1725	86.0%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	0	0.0%	15	0.9%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	31	1.8%	1	6.3%	40	2.3%
3 = Adequately	2	12.5%	246	14.3%	3	18.8%	261	15.1%
4 = Well	4	25.0%	613	35.5%	3	18.8%	610	35.4%
5 = Very well	10	62.5%	832	48.2%	9	56.3%	799	46.3%
Mean : SD	4.50	0.73	4.30	0.79	4.25	1.00	4.24	0.85

Developing as a Professional Educator

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

	actions on student learning and modify plans accordingly Program Statewide					k with fam and studen rning				k with colle instruction			for consu teaching	ide a conti Iltation, co to mentoi iplinary te	llaboratio	on, co- multi or
	Pro	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ram	State	ewide
	16	66.7%	1731	86.3%	16	66.7%	1727	86.1%	16	66.7%	1726	86.0%	16	66.7%	1725	86.0%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	8	0.5%	0	0.0%	7	0.4%	0	0.0%	9	0.5%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	21	1.2%	0	0.0%	41	2.4%	0	0.0%	38	2.2%	0	0.0%	34	2.0%
3 = Adequately	1	6.3%	233	13.5%	1	6.3%	281	16.3%	2	12.5%	233	13.5%	2	12.5%	232	13.4%
4 = Well	7	43.8%	625	36.1%	7	43.8%	616	35.7%	5	31.3%	588	34.1%	5	31.3%	606	35.1%
5 = Very well	8	50.0%	847	48.9%	8	50.0%	781	45.2%	9	56.3%	860	49.8%	9	56.3%	844	48.9%
Mean : SD	4.44	0.63	4.32	0.77	4.44	0.63	4.23	0.84	4.44	0.73	4.31	0.81	4.44	0.73	4.30	0.81

Content

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

	according to California academic content standards in my grade(s) Program Statewide			29. Contribute to students' reading skills including comprehension in				30. Enable students to acquire subject matter skills that contribute to future success in life, college, and career Program Statewide					ipate and students out			
	Pro	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	Iram	State	wide	Prog	ram	State	ewide
	15	62.5%	1711	85.3%	16	66.7%	1715	85.5%	15	62.5%	1710	85.2%	15	62.5%	1680	83.7%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	17	1.0%	0	0.0%	10	0.6%	0	0.0%	14	0.8%	0	0.0%	38	2.3%
2 = Poorly	2	13.3%	38	2.2%	0	0.0%	35	2.0%	1	6.7%	35	2.0%	3	20.0%	82	4.9%
3 = Adequately	2	13.3%	262	15.3%	4	25.0%	227	13.2%	1	6.7%	264	15.4%	0	0.0%	354	21.1%
4 = Well	4	26.7%	634	37.1%	2	12.5%	617	36.0%	3	20.0%	629	36.8%	4	26.7%	583	34.7%
5 = Very well	7	46.7%	760	44.4%	10	62.5%	826	48.2%	10	66.7%	768	44.9%	8	53.3%	623	37.1%
Mean : SD	4.07	1.10	4.22	0.85	4.38	0.89	4.29	0.81	4.47	0.92	4.23	0.84	4.13	1.19	3.99	0.99

Field Experiences

32. Which of the following best describes the kind of clinical experience you had during your preparation? (check all that apply):	13	54.2%	1729	86.2%
Student teaching with a cooperating teacher	4	16.7%	640	31.9%
Teaching fellow or intern in a program where I served as teacher of record while taking courses for my credential	8	33.3%	1030	51.3%
Teaching on an emergency credential [PIP/ STP] while taking courses for my credential	3	12.5%	257	12.8%

33. How often did preparation program faculty or staff communicate with you in person or by other means about your teaching practice?

	Prog	Iram	Statewide			
	13	54.2%	1724	85.9%		
1 = Less than once per month	0	0.0%	50	2.9%		
2 = Once per month	1	7.7%	158	9.2%		
3 = Twice per month	4	30.8%	378	21.9%		
4 = Once per week	3	23.1%	545	31.6%		
5 = 2-3 times per week	4	30.8%	484	28.1%		
6 = Daily	1	7.7%	109	6.3%		
Mean : SD	4.00	1.15	3.92	1.17		

34. How often did preparation program faculty or supervisors observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback during your clinical practice?

-	Prog	Iram	State	ewide		
	13	54.2%	1717	85.6%		
1 = Once or twice	0	0.0%	66	3.8%		
2 = 3-5 times	0	0.0%	438	25.5%		
3 = 6-10 times	5	38.5%	556	32.4%		
4 = 11-15 times	3	23.1%	287	16.7%		
5 = 16-20 times	1	7.7%	184	10.7%		
6 = More than 20 times	4	30.8%	186	10.8%		
Mean : SD	4.31	1.32	3.37	1.36		

35. My field experiences helped me integrate and apply the major ideas developed through program coursework.

	Prog	ram	State	wide
	13	54.2%	1724	85.9%
1 = Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%	22	1.3%
2 = Disagree	0	0.0%	18	1.0%
3 = Neutral	2	15.4%	96	5.6%
4 = Agree	5	38.5%	689	40.0%
5 = Strongly Agree	6	46.2%	899	52.1%
Mean : SD	4.31	0.75	4.41	0.75

36. My cooperating teacher(s) (select all that apply):

			Statewide			
	Prog	gram	State	wide		
	13	54.2%	1656	82.6%		
Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback						
	12	50.0%	1176	58.6%		
Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model	10	41.7%	1227	61.2%		
Modeled effective practices	8	33.3%	1129	56.3%		
Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials	10	41.7%	1109	55.3%		
Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching	10	41.7%	1349	67.2%		
Helped me reflect on my practice	10	41.7%	1270	63.3%		
Helped me to solve teaching problems	10	41.7%	1236	61.6%		
Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support						
for field-based assignments	10	41.7%	1283	64.0%		

37. Approximately how much time did you spend in student teaching (in the classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork?

38. Approximately how much time did you spend in an internship placement as teacher of
record as part of your fieldwork?

	Prog	gram	State	ewide					
	13	54.2%	1714	85.4%					
1 = Less than 100 hours	1	14.3%	52	7.0%					
2 = 100 - 299 hours	0	0.0%	116	15.7%					
3 = 300 - 599 hours	1	14.3%	266	35.9%					
4 = 600 - 799 hours	2	28.6%	143	19.3%					
5 = 800 hours or more	3	42.9%	164	22.1%					
This question does not apply to me because I did not do									
any student teaching. **	6	-	973	-					
Mean : SD	3.86	1.46	3.34	1.18					

39. If you served in an internship placement, how often did your assigned mentor observe
39. If you served in an internship placement, now often did your assigned mentor observe
your classroom instruction and provide feedback and assistance during your clinical practice?
your classicion and provide recabuck and assistance during your clinical practice.

ram 54.2%	State 1372	
	1372	68.4%
0.00%		
0.0%	62	5.6%
0.0%	182	16.5%
30.0%	284	25.8%
20.0%	188	17.1%
10.0%	148	13.4%
40.0%	238	21.6%
-	270	
1.35	3.81	1.55
	-	_ 270

	Prog	ram	State	wide
	13	54.2%	1365	68.0%
1 = Less than 100 hours	0	0.0%	16	1.5%
2 = 100 - 299 hours	1	10.0%	54	4.9%
3 = 300 - 599 hours	1	10.0%	97	8.8%
4 = 600 - 799 hours	1	10.0%	123	11.2%
5 = 800 hours or more	7	70.0%	813	73.7%
Does not apply/I was not in an internship **	3	-	262	-
Mean : SD	4.40	1.07	4.51	0.95

Content for Special Education: English Language Arts

In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do each of the following?

	40a) Lea skills	arn ways to	o teach de	ecoding	40b) Learn ways to build student interest and motivation to read				40c) Learn how to help students make predictions to improve comprehension				40d) Learn how to support older students in learning to read			
	Program		State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	Iram	State	wide	Prog	Iram	State	wide
	12	50.0%	1500	74.8%	12	50.0%	1506	75.1%	12	50.0%	1502	74.9%	12	50.0%	1490	74.3%
1 = None	0	0.0%	46	3.1%	0	0.0%	20	1.3%	0	0.0%	28	1.9%	1	8.3%	70	4.7%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	1	8.3%	139	9.3%	1	8.3%	92	6.1%	1	8.3%	121	8.1%	4	33.3%	185	12.4%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	1	8.3%	334	22.3%	1	8.3%	311	20.7%	2	16.7%	336	22.4%	1	8.3%	357	24.0%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	5	41.7%	542	36.1%	4	33.3%	541	35.9%	4	33.3%	540	36.0%	2	16.7%	481	32.3%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	5	41.7%	439	29.3%	6	50.0%	542	36.0%	5	41.7%	477	31.8%	4	33.3%	397	26.6%
Mean : SD	4.17	0.94	3.79	1.06	4.25	0.97	3.99	0.96	4.08	1.00	3.88	1.01	3.33	1.50	3.64	1.14

		40e) Learn ways to teach reading and writing to students at different stages or reading abilities				40f) Lea	rn how to owledge	activate s	tudents'		purpose o	dividual child urpose of ading	
		Prog	Iram	State	wide	Prog	Iram	Statewide		Program		State	ewide
		12	50.0%	1497	74.6%	12	50.0%	1506	75.1%	12	50.0%	1492	74.4%
1 = None		0	0.0%	26	1.7%	0	0.0%	13	0.9%	0	0.0%	50	3.4%
2 = Touched on it Briefly		0	0.0%	98	6.5%	0	0.0%	55	3.7%	0	0.0%	79	5.3%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing		3	25.0%	324	21.6%	2	16.7%	283	18.8%	0	0.0%	284	19.0%
4 = Explored in Some Depth		4	33.3%	496	33.1%	3	25.0%	499	33.1%	6	50.0%	464	31.1%
5 = Extensive Opportunity		5	41.7%	553	36.9%	7	58.3%	656	43.6%	6	50.0%	615	41.2%
	Mean : SD	4.17	0.83	3.97	1.00	4.42	0.79	4.15	0.91	4.50	0.52	4.02	1.06

		40h) Plan and teach a guided reading lesson					rn to teach their idea			student r	assessment results to address student needs and improve you teaching			
		Program		State	wide	Program		State	wide	Program		State	ewide	
		12	50.0%	1496	74.6%	12	50.0%	1492	74.4%	11	45.8%	1492	74.4%	
1 = None		0	0.0%	39	2.6%	0	0.0%	40	2.7%	0	0.0%	30	2.0%	
2 = Touched on it Briefly		1	8.3%	90	6.0%	2	16.7%	139	9.3%	0	0.0%	73	4.9%	
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing		1	8.3%	286	19.1%	1	8.3%	322	21.6%	1	9.1%	265	17.8%	
4 = Explored in Some Depth		4	33.3%	462	30.9%	4	33.3%	491	32.9%	5	45.5%	505	33.8%	
5 = Extensive Opportunity		6	50.0%	619	41.4%	5	41.7%	500	33.5%	5	45.5%	619	41.5%	
	Mean : SD	4.25	0.97	4.02	1.04	4.00	1.13	3.85	1.07	4.36	0.67	4.08	0.98	

Page 6

40j) Use student reading

	40k) Practice what you learned about teaching reading in your field experiences				401) Study state standards for reading/language arts				40m) Study, critique or adapt reading curriculum materials			
	Prog	Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		ewide
	12	50.0%	1499	74.7%	12	50.0%	1496	74.6%	12	50.0%	1499	74.7%
1 = None	0	0.0%	40	2.7%	1	8.3%	28	1.9%	1	8.3%	46	3.1%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	0	0.0%	71	4.7%	1	8.3%	110	7.4%	1	8.3%	112	7.5%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	1	8.3%	260	17.3%	1	8.3%	282	18.9%	1	8.3%	301	20.1%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	5	41.7%	447	29.8%	2	16.7%	505	33.8%	3	25.0%	487	32.5%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	6	50.0%	681	45.4%	7	58.3%	571	38.2%	6	50.0%	553	36.9%
Mean : SD	4.42	0.67	4.11	1.02	4.08	1.38	3.99	1.02	4.00	1.35	3.93	1.07

Content for Special Education: Mathematics

In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do each of the following?

	41a) Learn typical difficulties students have with place value				Learn typical difficulties			41c) Use representations (e.g., geometric representation, graphs, number lines) to show explicitly why a procedure works				41d) Prove that a solution is valid that a method works for all similar cases				
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ram	State	wide	Prog	Iram	State	wide
	12	50.0%	1464	73.0%	12	50.0%	1462	72.9%	12	50.0%	1464	73.0%	12	50.0%	1464	73.0%
1 = None	3	25.0%	162	11.1%	3	25.0%	180	12.3%	3	25.0%	152	10.4%	3	25.0%	163	11.1%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	2	16.7%	236	16.1%	2	16.7%	258	17.6%	3	25.0%	217	14.8%	2	16.7%	224	15.3%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	1	8.3%	332	22.7%	2	16.7%	327	22.4%	0	0.0%	317	21.7%	1	8.3%	348	23.8%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	2	16.7%	413	28.2%	1	8.3%	387	26.5%	2	16.7%	416	28.4%	2	16.7%	413	28.2%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	4	33.3%	321	21.9%	4	33.3%	310	21.2%	4	33.3%	362	24.7%	4	33.3%	316	21.6%
Mean : SD	3.17	1.70	3.34	1.28	3.08	1.68	3.27	1.31	3.08	1.73	3.42	1.29	3.17	1.70	3.34	1.28

		udy, critiqu um materia		pt math	th 41e) Study, critique, or curriculum materials			pt math	41g) Adapt math lessons fo students with diverse needs learning styles			
	Pro	Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		ewide
	12	50.0%	1477	73.6%	12	50.0%	1480	73.8%	12	50.0%	1478	73.7%
1 = None	3	25.0%	118	8.0%	3	25.0%	87	5.9%	3	25.0%	73	4.9%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	1	8.3%	175	11.8%	1	8.3%	176	11.9%	1	8.3%	142	9.6%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	1	8.3%	326	22.1%	1	8.3%	301	20.3%	0	0.0%	278	18.8%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	1	8.3%	433	29.3%	1	8.3%	407	27.5%	1	8.3%	434	29.4%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	6	50.0%	425	28.8%	6	50.0%	509	34.4%	7	58.3%	551	37.3%
Mean :	SD 3.50	1.78	3.59	1.24	3.50	1.78	3.73	1.22	3.67	1.83	3.84	1.17

					41i) Study national or state standards for mathematics				41j) Review local district mathematics curriculum				
		Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		State	wide
		12	50.0%	1478	73.7%	12	50.0%	1474	73.5%	12	50.0%	1468	73.2%
1 = None		2	16.7%	86	5.8%	3	25.0%	90	6.1%	3	25.0%	173	11.8%
2 = Touched on it Briefly		1	8.3%	137	9.3%	0	0.0%	145	9.8%	1	8.3%	220	15.0%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing		1	8.3%	294	19.9%	3	25.0%	342	23.2%	1	8.3%	311	21.2%
4 = Explored in Some Depth		1	8.3%	417	28.2%	1	8.3%	445	30.2%	3	25.0%	395	26.9%
5 = Extensive Opportunity		7	58.3%	544	36.8%	5	41.7%	452	30.7%	4	33.3%	369	25.1%
	Mean : SD	3.83	1.64	3.81	1.19	3.42	1.68	3.69	1.18	3.33	1.67	3.39	1.32

General

42. Overall, how effective was your teacher preparation program at developing the skills or tools you needed to become an education specialist?

	Prog	Program		wide
	12	50.0%	1622	80.9%
1 = Not at all effective	0	0.0%	15	0.9%
2 = Somewhat effective	2	16.7%	154	9.5%
3 = Effective	4	33.3%	611	37.7%
4 = Very effective	6	50.0%	842	51.9%
Mean : SD	3.33	0.78	3.41	0.70

Demographics

43. Are you Hispanic or Latino?

	Prog	gram	State	wide	
	12	50.0%	1629	81.2%	
No, not Hispanic or Latino	*		1088	66.8%	
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	*		541	33.2%	

Demographic numbers below 10 are not shown. If only one category is below 10, then the next highest number is also hidden.

44. What is your race? (Select all that apply)

	Prog	Program Statev		wide	
	11	45.8%	1425	71.0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*		74	3.7%	
Chinese	*		22	1.1%	
Japanese	*		23	1.1%	
Korean	*		15	0.7%	
Vietnamese	*		15	0.7%	
Asian Indian	*		12	0.6%	
Laotian	*		5	0.2%	
Cambodian	*		3	0.1%	
Filipino	*		51	2.5%	
Hmong	*		2	0.1%	
Other Asian	*		19	0.9%	
Black or African American	*		108	5.4%	
Hawaiian	*		4	0.2%	
Guamanian	*		6	0.3%	
Samoan	*		2	0.1%	
Tahitian	*		0	0.0%	
Other Pacific Islander	*		9	0.4%	
White	10	41.7%	1190	59.3%	

Demographic numbers below 10 are not shown. If only one category is below 10, then the next highest number is also hidden.

45. What is your gender?

	Prog	Program States		wide	
	12	50.0%	1633	81.4%	
Female	*		1237	75.8%	
Male	*		372	22.8%	
Decline to state	*		24	1.5%	

	Number of Program Completers Asked to Participate in Survey	# and of Resp	d % ondents	
Program:	24	24	100.0 %	'Respondents' are those program completers who answered a minimum of 1
tatewide:	2098	2006	95.6 %	non-demographic question.